Inferring Tropical Cyclone Boundary Layer Roll Circulations from SAR

Ralph Foster¹, Zorana Jelenak², Joe Sapp², Paul S. Chang² Alexis Mouche³, Bertrand Chapron³

¹ APL, U. Washington

² NOAA NESDIS

³ Ifremer, FR

Thanks to ONR (N00014-20-1-2148) and NASA 2017 OVWST (80NSSC19K0060)

Take-Home Messages

- Ocean SAR images of Tropical Cyclones (TC) provide:
 - Surface wind (U_{10}^N, V_{10}^N) & stress (τ_x, τ_y) in 1 km wind vector cells
 - Lower boundary conditions (BC)
 - TC boundary layer (TCBL) roll orientation (ubiquitous)
- Retrieve Sea-Level Pressure (SLP) pattern (Foster, 2017)
 - Calculate P_r
 - Derive gradient wind V_g at top of TCBL
 - Upper BCs
- Assume a range of realistic eddy-viscosity profiles (K(z))
 - Calculate TCBL $(U_{rad}(z), V_{tan}(z))$ (Foster, 2009)
 - Calculate TCBL roll orientation (Foster, 2005)
 - Best agreement \leftrightarrow best estimate of $(U_{rad}(z), V_{tan}(z))$
 - Calculate nonlinear roll circulation (Foster, 2005)
- Compare $(U_{rad}(z), V_{tan}(z))$ & TCBL roll circulation to IWRAP
 - SAR-derived mean flow a little shallow & a little weak
 - SAR-derived roll magnitude, structure & orientation agree well
 - SAR-derived roll wavelength a little too long
- Currently upgrading Foster (2009) in light of these results

Larry 7 Sep, 2021

- S-1B Ascending (~18:00 local) overpass at 21:46 UTC
- NOAA N43 P-3 flight, Δt = -3 to +1.5 hours of S-1B
 - IWRAP (downward, conical scan, Ku-band)
 - SFMR
 - TDR
 - 4 drop sondes (near storm center)
- NOAA G-IV flight
 - Environment soundings, far from center
 - 7 drop sondes in-image within P-3 time window



SFMR used to adjust P-3 observations to SAR overpass time (most difficult aspect of analysis)



Foster (2017)



SAR-derived BC for Foster (2009) TCBL similarity model





TCBL rolls "Inflow" Angle Positive Angle: rolls point <u>toward</u> low pressure



Orientation of TCBL rolls

Assumptions:

- Roll orientation primarily determined by TCBL mean shear profiles
- SAR-estimated mean shear profiles primarily determined by assumed K(z)
 <u>Seek best agreement with OBS</u>
- Insight into TCBL roll dynamics
- Insight into K(z) distributions (smallscale turbulence)



IWRAP Winds

• P-3 penetration at overpass time



- SAR-derived wind profiles
 - <u>No additional data input</u>
 - PBL depth too shallow
 - K(z) a bit diffusive
 - Circulation a bit weak
- Improvements are likely



Note the ubiquitous km-scale modulation in IWRAP winds

Analyze IWRAP km-scale Modulation

- Choose P-3 leg near overpass
 - r = 65 95 km (RMW = 65)
 - Use SAR to rotate into roll-coordinates (~5°)
 - Roll overturning circulation is (Upar, w)
 - Upar is approximately radial
 - Along-roll perturbation is (Uperp)
 - Uperp is approximately azimuthal
- Perturbation from 5-km running mean
 - Decompose into wavelets from near-surface to 3 km
 - Extract 95% significant peaks based on red noise (MLE estimate of lag-1 autocorrelation)
 - Distinct 1.5 to 4 km wavelength band across P-3 pass
 - "turbulent" small-scale peaks
 - Reconstruct winds with only locally-significant 1.5 to 4 km contributions
 - Roll circulation in IWRAP



Figure 4: TCBL roll signature extracted from IWRAP winds. (a) Upar; (b) Uperp. Calculated TCBL rolls using mean wind and eddy viscosity profiles derived from IWRAP and SAR. (c) Upar; (d) Uperp. Calculated vertical velocity included on c & d with 0.5 m s-1 contours. Vectors show calculated overturning roll circulation (Upar, W).

Contours are SAR-predicted vertical velocity (0.5 m s⁻¹ contours) Vectors are SAR-predicted overturning circulation

Upar: horizontal component of overturning flow (nearly radial) Uperp: horizontal along-roll flow (in/out of page; nearly azimuthal)

Note that the primary instability (Upar, w) leans into the mean shear

These are NOT convective rolls!

Extra

Predict IWRAP Roll Circulation from SAR

- Use <u>only</u> data extracted from the SAR image
 - SAR-Derived Mean Wind Profiles
 - Derived from $V_g(r, \theta) \& U_{rad_{10}}^N, V_{tan_{10}}^N$
 - Previously predicted wavelengths and orientations
 - Determines K(z)
- Modified non-linear TCBL rolls theory (Foster, 2005)
 - Arbitrary (U(z), V(z)) & K(z)
 - Predict TCBL roll Structure & Magnitude
 - Compare to IWRAP extracted roll signature
 - Significant 1.5 to 4 km wavelength band only



- Approximate center fixes
 - Four NHC 6-hourly locations
 - First-guess SAR surface wind circulation center
- Minimize RMS between SAR SFMR U₁₀
 - Do not require track to match any of the center fixes
 - Smooth path, keep within 10 km of NHC and 4 km of SAR
 - Optimization cost function restricted to ~150 km of storm center
 - RMS:
 - Initial ~6.5 m/s
 - Final ~4 m/s

Dashed: Actual P-3 flight track Colored: P-3 adjusted to SAR overpass time Magenta: Storm track

Radial pass at S-1 overpass

Adjusting aircraft to SAR is the most difficult aspect and contributes significantly to RMS!

IWRAP Upar (total, 95% significance)



IWRAP Uperp (total, 95% significance)



Figure 15: Hurricane Larry (2021) (a) Upar perturbation wind reconstructed using only significant spectral peaks (b) As in (a), but for Uperp

Upper: Upar: horizontal component of overturning flow (nearly radial) Lower: Uperp: horizontal along-roll flow (in/out of page; nearly azimuthal)